#### Aol Perspective on the Accuracy of Monitoring Systems for Continuous-Time Markovian Sources

\*Yoshiaki Inoue and Tetsuya Takine

Osaka University, Japan

## Remote Monitoring System

The state of an information source is monitored over time

Information Source (Stochastic Process)



The monitor displays the latest state information received

•  $A_t$ : Age of Information (AoI) at time t

 $A_t \triangleq t - \eta_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$ 

 $\eta_t$ : Time-stamp of the displayed information at time *t* 

## **Aol and Monitoring Accuracy**



A target value of the Aol

is highly dependent on the information source dynamics

#### If the information source is

- slowly varying in time
  - A fairly large value of the AoI would be acceptable
- quickly varying in time
  - ► A strict limit for the AoI would be imposed

## Related Works (1)

Only a few works discuss the relation between the AoI and the information source dynamics

- The age of channel state information [Costa et al. (2015)]
  - Modeled as a discrete-time Markov chain with two states
- Optimal samplings of
  - Wiener processes [Sun et al. (2017)]
    - Minimization of the mean squared error
  - Discrete-time Markov chains [Sun and Cyr (2018)]
    - Maximization of the mutual information

## Related Works (2)

An optimal sampling of Wiener processes [Sun et al. (2017)]

• The mean squared error

 $MSE = E\left[(Y_t - \hat{Y}_t)^2\right]$ 

 $Y_t$ : Actual state at time t,  $\hat{Y}_t$ : Displayed state at time t

A state-dependent sampling policy is optimal

- An update is generated when  $|Y_t \hat{Y}_t|$  exceeds a threshold
- If sampling timings are independent of the monitored state,

 $MSE = E[A] \qquad E[A]: Mean Aol$ 

- We consider a monitoring system, where
  - A continuous-time Markov chain  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is monitored
  - The AoI process  $(A_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is independent of  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$
- Main contributions:
  - Derive an expression for an accuracy matrix R[R]<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> := Pr(Displayed state = *j* | Actual state = *i*)

- We consider a monitoring system, where
  - A continuous-time Markov chain  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is monitored
  - The AoI process  $(A_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is independent of  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$
- Main contributions:
  - Derive an expression for an accuracy matrix R[R]<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> := Pr(Displayed state = *j* | Actual state = *i*)

#### • Obtain lower and upper bounds for $[\mathbf{R}]_{i,i}$

 $[\mathbf{R}]_{i,i} = \Pr(\text{Displayed state is correct} | \text{Actual state} = i)$ 

- We consider a monitoring system, where
  - A continuous-time Markov chain  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is monitored
  - The AoI process  $(A_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is independent of  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$
- Main contributions:
  - Derive an expression for an accuracy matrix R[R]<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> := Pr(Displayed state = *j* | Actual state = *i*)
  - Obtain lower and upper bounds for  $[\mathbf{R}]_{i,i}$ 
    - In particular, we show that  $[\mathbf{R}]_{i,i} \ge 1 q_i \mathbf{E}[A]$

 $q_i$ : Transition rate of  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from state *i* 

- We consider a monitoring system, where
  - A continuous-time Markov chain  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is monitored
  - The AoI process  $(A_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is independent of  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$
- Main contributions:
  - Derive an expression for an accuracy matrix R[R]<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> := Pr(Displayed state = *j* | Actual state = *i*)
  - Obtain lower and upper bounds for  $[R]_{i,i}$ 
    - In particular, we show that  $[\mathbf{R}]_{i,i} \ge 1 q_i \mathbf{E}[A]$

 $q_i$ : Transition rate of  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from state *i* 

Develop a computational method for R in a special case

#### **Model Description**

#### **Continuous-Time Markov Source**

- The monitored continuous-time Markov chain  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is
  - stationary ergodic with finite state space  $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$
  - characterized by transition rates  $q_{i,j}$   $(i, j \in \mathcal{M}, i \neq j)$

•  $q_i := \sum_{j \in \mathcal{M}, j \neq i} q_{i,j}$  Transition rate from *i* 

#### **Continuous-Time Markov Source**

- The monitored continuous-time Markov chain  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is
  - stationary ergodic with finite state space  $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$
  - characterized by transition rates  $q_{i,j}$   $(i, j \in \mathcal{M}, i \neq j)$ 
    - $q_i := \sum_{j \in \mathcal{M}, j \neq i} q_{i,j}$  Transition rate from *i*
    - Sojourn time at state *i* follows an exponential distribution with mean 1/q<sub>i</sub>
    - with probability  $q_{i,j}/q_i$ , a transition to state *j* occurs

#### **Continuous-Time Markov Source**

• The monitored continuous-time Markov chain  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is

• stationary ergodic with finite state space  $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ 

• characterized by transition rates  $q_{i,j}$   $(i, j \in \mathcal{M}, i \neq j)$ 

•  $q_i := \sum_{j \in \mathcal{M}, j \neq i} q_{i,j}$  Transition rate from *i* 

• **Q**: Infinitesimal generator of  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ 

$$[\mathbf{Q}]_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -q_i, & j=i, \\ q_{i,j}, & j\neq i \end{cases}$$

•  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_M)$ : Stationary probability vector of  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ 

 $\pi_i = \Pr(Y_t = i), \quad \pi Q = 0$  (Balance equation)

#### **Aol Process and Displayed State**

• The AoI process  $(A_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is assumed to be

- stationary and ergodic
- independent of the Markovian information source  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$

•  $\hat{Y}_t$ : The displayed state on the monitor at time t

 $\hat{Y}_t = Y_{t-A_t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$ 

We have the following result:

**Lemma 1.**  $(\hat{Y}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a stationary, ergodic stochastic process with  $\Pr(\hat{Y}_t = i) = \Pr(Y_t = i) \quad (= \pi_i), \quad i \in \mathcal{M}$ 

#### Main Results

## Stochastic Matrices R and $\overline{R}$ (1)

We introduce two matrices R and  $\overline{R}$ 

• The (i, j)th element of **R** is given by

 $r_{i,j} := \Pr(\text{Displayed state} = j | \text{Actual state} = i)$ 

• The (i, j)th element of  $\overline{R}$  is given by

 $\overline{r}_{i,j} := \Pr(\text{Actual state} = j | \text{Displayed state} = i)$ 

We can show that the following relation holds w.p. 1

$$r_{i,j} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\int_{-T/2}^{T/2} \mathbb{1} \{Y_t = i\} \mathbb{1} \{\hat{Y}_t = j\} dt}{\int_{-T/2}^{T/2} \mathbb{1} \{Y_t = i\} dt}$$

 $\overline{r}_{i,j}$  also satisfies a similar relation

## Stochastic Matrices R and $\overline{R}$ (2)

 $[\mathbf{R}]_{i,j} = r_{i,j} := \Pr(\text{Displayed state} = j \mid \text{Actual state} = i)$  $[\overline{\mathbf{R}}]_{i,j} = \overline{r}_{i,j} := \Pr(\text{Actual state} = j \mid \text{Displayed state} = i)$ 

From Lemma 1 and Baye's formula, we have

$$r_{i,j} = \frac{\pi_j \overline{r}_{j,i}}{\pi_i}$$
, and equivalently,  $\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{-1} \overline{\boldsymbol{R}}^\top \boldsymbol{\Pi}$ 

 $\pi_i = \Pr(\underline{Y_t} = i) = \Pr(\hat{Y_t} = i), \qquad \Pi = \operatorname{diag}(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_M)$ 

• In particular, we have  $r_{i,i} = \overline{r}_{i,i} =: r_i$ 

 $r_i = \Pr(\text{Displayed state} = i | \text{Actual state} = i)$ 

= Pr(Actual state = i | Displayed state = i)

 $r_i$  is our primary quantity of interest

## Formulas of R and $\overline{R}$

 $A(x) = \Pr(A_t \le x)$ : Probability distribution function of the Aol

• We have the following result:

Theorem 2. *R* and  $\overline{R}$  are given by  $R = \int_0^\infty \exp[(\Pi^{-1} Q^\top \Pi) x] dA(x),$  $\overline{R} = \int_0^\infty \exp[Qx] dA(x).$ 

- **Q**: Infinitesimal generator of  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$
- $\mathbf{\Pi} = \operatorname{diag}(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_M)$

 $\Pi^{-1} Q^{\top} \Pi$  can be regarded as the infinitesimal generator of the time-reversed process  $(Y_{-t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ 

# Bounds of $r_i$ (1)

- $r_i = \Pr(\text{Displayed state} = i | \text{Actual state} = i)$ 
  - We can derive the following bounds for  $r_i$ :

**Theorem 3.**  $r_i$  is bounded by  $a^*(q_i) \le r_i \le 1 - (a^*(q_{\max}) - a^*(q_i + q_{\max}))$  $a^*(s) = E[e^{-sA_t}]$ : LST of the Aol distribution

 $q_i$ : Transition rate from state i,  $q_{\max} := \max_{i \in \mathcal{M}} q_i$ .

From the inequalities above, we further obtain

**Corollary 1.** If  $E[A] < \infty$ ,  $r_i$  is bounded by  $1 - q_i E[A] \le r_i \le 1 - q_i E[A] + \frac{(q_i + q_{\max})^2}{2} E[A^2]$ 

# Bounds of $r_i$ (2)

- $r_i = \Pr(\text{Displayed state} = i | \text{Actual state} = i)$ 
  - We have obtained the simple lower bound for  $r_i$ :

 $r_i \ge 1 - q_i \mathbf{E}[A]$ 

 $q_i$ : Transition rate from state *i*, E[A]: Mean Aol

In order to ensure

```
r_i \geq 1 - \epsilon for some \epsilon > 0,
```

it is sufficient to design the system so that  $E[A] \leq \frac{\epsilon}{q_i}$ 

## Special Case: Reversible Markovian Information Source

#### **Reversible Markov Chain**

• We assume that  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a reversible Markov chain

 $(Y_{-t})_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$  follows the same probability law as  $(Y_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ 

• A necessary and sufficient condition:

 $\pi_i q_{i,j} = \pi_j q_{j,i}, \quad i, j \in \mathcal{M}$  Detailed balance equations

Under this assumption, we can show that

 $S := DQD^{-1}$  is a symmetric matrix

$$\boldsymbol{D} := \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\pi}_1, \sqrt{\pi}_2, \dots, \sqrt{\pi}_M)$$

#### Computable Formula of **R**

- $S := DQD^{-1}$  is a real symmetric matrix
  - S is diagonizable by an orthogonal matrix  $U = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_M)$

$$\boldsymbol{S} = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \gamma_k \boldsymbol{u}_k \boldsymbol{u}_k^{\top}$$

 $\gamma_k$ : The *k*th largest eigenvalue of *S*  $u_k$ : A normalized right eigen vector of *S* associated with  $\gamma_k$ 

We can verify that

• 
$$\gamma_k \in \mathbb{R}$$
,  $k = 1, 2, ..., M$   
•  $\gamma_1 = 0$  and  $\gamma_k < 0$ ,  $k = 2, 3, ..., M$   
We define  $\theta_k := -\gamma_k$   $(0 = \theta_1 < \theta_2 \le \theta_3 \cdots \le \theta_M)$ 

#### Computable Formula of **R**

• **Q** is then diagonizable as

$$\boldsymbol{Q} = \sum_{k=1}^{M} (-\theta_k) \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{u}_k \boldsymbol{u}_k^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{D} \qquad \boldsymbol{D} := \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\pi}_1, \sqrt{\pi}_2, \dots, \sqrt{\pi}_M)$$

Recall that 
$$\mathbf{R} = \int_0^\infty \exp\left[(\mathbf{\Pi}^{-1}\mathbf{Q}^\top\mathbf{\Pi})x\right] dA(x), \quad \overline{\mathbf{R}} = \int_0^\infty \exp[\mathbf{Q}x] dA(x)$$

We can then show that

$$\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{\overline{R}} = \boldsymbol{e}\boldsymbol{\pi} + \sum_{k=2}^{M} a^*(\boldsymbol{\theta}_k) \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{u}_k \boldsymbol{u}_k^{\top} \boldsymbol{D}$$

e: Column vector with all elements equal to one
π: Stationary probability vector
a\*(s): LST of the Aol distribution

#### **Numerical Examples**

#### **Experimental Setting**

• We employ three different Markov chains with 36 states



• Transition rates are fixed as  $q_i = q$  ( $i \in \mathcal{M}$ )

Transition probabilities are homogeneous

For the monitoring system model, we use an FCFS D/M/1 queue

## Numerical Result (1)

 $r_{\min} := \min_{i \in \mathcal{M}} r_i$ , Transition rate q = 1, Service rate  $\mu = 64$ 





There is little difference in  $r_{\min}$  among the three Markov chains

The lower bound 1 - qE[A]well approximates  $r_{min}$ 

## Numerical Result (2)





 $\lambda$  for each point is chosen so that  $r_{\min}$  is maximized

When  $\mu$  is large,

- The value of r<sub>min</sub> is almost independent of the transition structure
- The lower bound 1 *q*E[*A*] well approximates *r*<sub>min</sub>

#### Conclusion

- We considered a monitoring system, where
  - A continuous-time Markov chain (Y<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t∈R</sub> is monitored
     The Aol process (A<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t∈R</sub> is independent of (Y<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t∈R</sub>

• We derived an expression for the accuracy matrix **R**  $[\mathbf{R}]_{i,j} = \Pr(\text{Displayed state} = j | \text{Actual state} = i)$ 

• We obtained a simple lower bound for  $r_i := [\mathbf{R}]_{i,i}$  $r_i \ge 1 - q_i \mathbb{E}[A]$   $q_i$ : Transition rate from state i

#### We developed a computing method for R in the reversible case

Through numerical experiments, we observed that the lower bound  $1 - q_i E[A]$  well approximates  $r_i$